



SARE PROJECT

Cover Crop Influence on Stored Soil Water Availability to Subsequent Crops

Statement of Problem

Reducing weed pressure on crops without affecting soil health through intense and frequent tillage and cultivation practices is a major challenge in sustainable crop production. South Carolina SARE Program farmer stakeholders have identified "cover cropping for weed management" as the highest priority topic for research and training. Despite the potential benefits, few grain producers in the southeast have included cover crops as part of their cropping systems because of several challenges. One of the major concerns of producers is the possibility that cover crops may reduce the amount of water stored in the soil profile for the next grain crop, potentially reducing yields. Therefore, it is imperative to test the effect of cover crops on stored soil water before they are introduced to the cropping system for sustainably managing weeds and improving soil health.

Research Question

If I plant a cover crop, how much soil water does it use and will it cause water stress to the subsequent cash crop?

Approach and Methods

We evaluated the common cover crop species in South Carolina for water use and biomass production. We evaluated seven cover crop treatments including grasses, legumes, and brassicas as single species or in mixtures, and compared them with two controls, a weed-free fallow and a weedy fallow, in an on-farm trial (Millam Farm, Pendleton, South Carolina) during 2016-2017. Soil moisture content was measured at 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, and 100 cm depths at approximately biweekly intervals during cover crop season and at one month after cover crop termination. Biomass was measured at monthly intervals during the cover crop season.



This project is supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under award number XXXX-XXXXX-XXXXX through the Southern Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education program under subaward number FSXX-XXX. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture or SARE. USDA is an equal opportunity employer and service provider.

OUR FARMS, OUR FUTURE CONFERENCE The Next 30 Years of Sustainable Agriculture

OSI6-096

Results





fter Cover Crop Terminatio



Fig. I. Effect of cover crops on soil moisture content (Mixture of 5a-Austrian winter peas, Crimson clover, Hairy vetch, Rye, and Oats; Mixture of 5b - Crimson clover, Radish, Turnip, Wheat, and Oats)



Fig. 2. Cover crops biomass production

Daniel Millam Farmer Cooperator Pendleton



Chris Talley **Extension Agent** Anderson County

Our Team



Sruthi Narayanan Assistant Professor Clemson University

Best ground covers

Intermediate ground covers

Worst ground

covers



Rye and Clover



Crimson clover

- compared to controls (weed-free or weedy fallow).
- produced per unit amount of water used) values.
- ground covers.

Future Works

their impact on the performance of the following cash crop. [Southern SARE funded research 2018]





Geoff Zehnder Professor **Clemson University**



Ricardo St Aime Graduate Student **Clemson University**



SRUTHI NARAYANAN

Radish, Turnip, Wheat, Oats, and Clover





Oat and Radish

Turnip and Clover

• All cover crop treatments retained more or equal amount of soil moisture

• Rye and the mixture of Austrian winter pea, crimson clover, hairy vetch, oat, and rye were good ground covers in terms of number of plants per meter square, and had highest amount of biomass and water use efficiency (biomass

Though crimson clover and the mixture of turnip and crimson clover retained good amount of moisture in the soil, they were poor biomass producers and

Determine the effect of cover crops on soil health and weed suppression, and



southernsare.org